UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,125	10/23/2006	Albert J. Banes	4647-060533	7408
	7590 02/24/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			GIBBS, TERRA C	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/570,125	BANES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TERRA C. GIBBS	1635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12 and 15-18 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	withdrawn from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	e: a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date zJuly 19, 2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a response to Applicant's Election filed November 21, 2008.

Claims 1-18 are pending in the instant application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV, drawn to a method for manipulating the intrinsic strain of cells, comprising treating the cells with compounds that affect the intrinsic strain setpoint of the cells in order to modulate extracellular matrix synthesis, secretion, stiffness, organization and/or remodeling, or attachment of the cells to the matrix via integrins or other like cell-matrix attachments, wherein the compound is a cytokine which adjusts the intrinsic strain of cells by modulating gene expression in the reply filed on November 21, 2008 is acknowledged. Applicant's further election of the species of interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β) from claim 14 is also acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search and examination of Groups I-VII may be made without imposing a serious burden on the Examiner. Applicants contend that conducting a search on the subject matter of Groups I-VII would not unduly burden the Examiner because the subject matter of these Groups is relatively similar and a search in one Group would necessarily include a search of the second. Applicants also contend that a search in one Group would lead to references relevant to the remaining Groups because each Group is drawn to a similar method.

This traversal has been fully considered, but is not found persuasive because contrary to Applicants contentions, conducting a search on the subject matter of Groups

I-VII would indeed be unduly burden the Examiner because the subject matter of these Groups is not relatively similar and a search in one Group would not necessarily include a search of the second. This is primarily due to the fact that Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. In this regard, references relevant to a search in one Group would not necessarily lead to references relevant to the remaining Groups because each Group is drawn to different methods, which lack the same or corresponding special technical feature, each from the other. Therefore, restriction for search and examination purposes is proper.

Accordingly, claims 8-12 and 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on November 21, 2008.

Claims 1-7, 13, and 14 have been examined on the merits.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings filed on February 28, 2006 are acknowledged and have been accepted by the Examiner.

considered fully responsive.

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.821-1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below; The disclosure contains sequences which fall under the purview of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 as requiring SEQ ID NOs., but which are not so identified. For example, see Table 1. Applicant must fully comply with the sequence rules for any response to this action to be

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant's information disclosure statement filed July 19, 2007 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR §1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the information disclosure statement, and a signed copy is enclosed herewith.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of foreign priority papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are indefinite because the trademarks BAT™ and Arctangle™ are used, respectively. If the trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of the **35 U.S.C. 112**, second paragraph. *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. In fact, the value of a trademark would be lost to the extent that it became descriptive of a product, rather than used as an identification of a source or origin of a product. Thus, the use of a trademark or trade name in a claim to identify or describe a material or product would not only render a claim indefinite, but would also constitute an improper use of the trademark or trade name. Correction is required.

Claim 14 is indefinite because tumor necrosis factor-alpha has been incorrectly abbreviated as TGF- α instead of TNF- α . Correction is required.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartwig et al. (J Interferon Cytokine Res., 2001 Oct; 21(10):851-860).

Claim 1 is drawn to a method for manipulating the intrinsic strain of cells, comprising treating the cells with compounds that affect the intrinsic strain setpoint of the cells in order to modulate extracellular matrix synthesis, secretion, stiffness, organization and/or remodeling, or attachment of the cells to the matrix via integrins or other like cell-matrix attachments. Claims 13 and 14 are dependent on claim 1 and include all the limitations of claim 1 with the further limitations wherein the compound is a cytokine which adjusts the intrinsic strain of cells by modulating gene expression; and wherein the cytokine is interleukin-1 beta (IL-1β). It is noted that the instant specification discloses, at paragraph [0046]:

"In still another embodiment of the present invention, RNA silencing techniques or other gene expression modulating techniques can be used to reduce expression of genes which affect the intrinsic strain setpoint of an *in situ* native tissue or an *in vitro* tissue engineered construct"

Hartwig et al. disclose the modulation of the cytokine interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β) using antisense oligonucleotides in human stromal cells *in vitro* (see Abstract, for example).

While Hartwig et al. is silent with respect to intrinsic cell strain, the method steps carried out are the same as those recited in the claimed invention (treating of cells with a compound that affects intrinsic cell strain). Because the method steps are the same, Hartwig et al. is inherently teaching the same method of manipulating intrinsic strain of cells as the current application, absent evidence to the contrary.

Page 7

Therefore, Hartwig et al. anticipate claims 1, 13, and 14, absent evidence to the contrary.

Claims 1, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,472,202, B1 (Banes et al.), presented and made of record on Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed July 19, 2007.

Claim 1 is as described above. Claims 6 and 7 are dependent on claim 1 and include all the limitations of claim 1 with the further limitations of further comprising applying a mechanical external strain to the cells; and wherein the mechanical external strain is comprised of uniaxially loading a tissue engineered construct by placing Arctangle™ loading posts beneath a well of a culture plate and applying a vacuum to deform a flexible membrane downward so as to apply a uniaxial strain along a long axis of the tissue engineered construct.

Banes et al. disclose a loading station assembly and a method for tissue engineering that allows equibiaxial, uniaxial or other directional stretching of a flexible cell culture membrane (column 2, line 26)(claim 7). Since the method involves seeding of cells with adherence to polyester foam, the method is interpreted to include treating

the cells with a compound that affects intrinsic strain setpoint by causing engagement of cell attachment points of the cells from its extracellular matrix (column 7, line 42) (claims 1 and 11). The use of a circular loading post (column 2, line 51) for application of the

Page 8

mechanical external strain with application of a vacuum is also taught (Abstract) (claim

8).

Therefore, Banes et al. anticipate claims 1, 6, and 7.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,912,234 A (Ruoslahti et al.), presented and made of record on Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed July 19, 2007.

Claim 1 is as described above. Claims 2-5 are dependent on claim 1 and include all the limitations of claim 1 with the further limitations wherein the cells comprise an *in situ* native tissue; wherein the cells comprise an *in vitro* fabricated tissue engineered construct; wherein the tissue engineered construct is a human tendon internal fibroblast (HTIF)-populated bioartificial tendon (BAT™) or other fibroblast from another connective tissue; and wherein the compound is added at the beginning, during or at the end of fabrication of the tissue engineered construct. It is noted that the instant specification discloses, at the Abstract that the compounds can be a peptide that disrupts integrin binding to matrix components.

Ruoslahti et al. discloses the use of peptides that bind to integrins and block the ability of the integrins to bind to extracellular matrix proteins. At the bottom of column 6 through the top of column 7, Ruoslahti et al. clearly contemplates treatment of *in situ*

tissues for the prevention of metastasis or for enhancing wound healing in concert with a prosthetic device or being integrated into a matrix to be implanted. In the case of wound healing contemplation, the compound is clearly added at the beginning of the fabrication, as the fabrication would seem to occur *in situ*.

While Ruoslahti et al. is silent with respect to intrinsic cell strain, the method steps carried out are the same as those recited in the claimed invention (treating of cells with a compound that affects intrinsic cell strain). Because the method steps are the same, Ruoslahti et al. is inherently teaching the same method of manipulating intrinsic strain of cells as the current application, absent evidence to the contrary.

Therefore, Ruoslahti et al. anticipate claims 1-5, absent evidence to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terra C. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-0758. The examiner can normally be reached from 9 am - 5 pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James "Doug" Schultz can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application/Control Number: 10/570,125 Page 10

Art Unit: 1635

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

February 11, 2009 /Terra Cotta Gibbs/

USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.